## REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

# FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF GREENS VALLEY STORAGE MODIFICATION PDS2020-STP-03-026W1; PDS2020-ER-03-08-029A

July 29, 2021

			_ Does the proposed project conform to the Ordinance findings?					
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT					
Discussion:								
boundaries of the of any off-site imp Permit/Coastal Sa	Multiple Sp rovements age Scrub C	ecies Conse do not contai Ordinance. Th	provements are located outside of the rvation Program, the project site and locations in habitats subject to the Habitat Loss herefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss dings is not required.					
<u>II. MSCP/BMO</u> - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?								
Y	ES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT					
Discussion:								
located outside	of the bour	ndaries of the high t	provements related to the proposed project are the Multiple Species Conservation Program tole Species Conservation Program and the sired.					
III. GROUNDWAT the San Diego Co			s the project comply with the requirements of ance?					
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT					
<del>-</del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			11 0 D: 0 1 0 11 11 D: 11					

The project will obtain potable water from the San Diego County Sanitation District Lakeside Service Area that obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or other imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purposes, including irrigation or domestic supply.

### IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Sections 86.604(a) and (b)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Sections 86.604(c) and (d)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Section 86.604(e))?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Section 86.604(f)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Section 86.604(g)) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

#### Discussion:

#### Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

#### Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(c) and (d) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

#### Steep Slopes:

Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County RPO. There are no steep slopes on the property. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(e) of the RPO.

#### Sensitive Habitats:

Sensitive habitat lands include unique vegetation communities and/or habitat that is either necessary to support a viable population of sensitive species, is critical to the proper functioning of a balanced natural ecosystem, or which serves as a functioning wildlife corridor. No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Sections 86.604(a) and (b) of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

#### Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The property has been surveyed by a County of San Diego approved archaeologist/historian, Shelby Castells/Justin Castells and it has been determined that the property does not contain any archaeological/ historical sites. As such, the project complies with the RPO.

			Does the project comply with t	
Ordinance (WPO)		tion, Stormwa	ater Management and Discharg	e Control
	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE	
Discussion:				
	•	•	Storm Water Quality Manage and in compliance with the W	
			ect comply with the County of Secondary of Seconty of San Diego Noise O	
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE	
Discussion:				

The proposal would not expose people to, nor generate potentially significant noise levels, which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Staff have reviewed the project documentation and Noise Report prepared by Eilar Associates, Inc. and dated February 17, 2021. All comment(s) have been addressed; Documentation is considered accepted. The project is a modification to an existing Site Plan to expand the existing operating storage facility. The project consists of demolishing existing structures and construction of one new storage building. The project is subject to the County Noise Ordinance and Noise Elements. The Noise Elements prohibit the implementation of a project that can result in an exposure of any on-or off-site, existing or reasonably foreseeable future Noise Sensitive Land Use (NSLU) to exterior or interior

noise in excess of the noise standards. The project is also subject to the Noise Ordinance that regulates the operational and temporary construction noise. The project as well as surrounding parcels to the west, east, and south are zoned Limited Impact Industrial (M52), which is subject to the one-hour average sound level limits (dBA) of 70 dBA anytime. The surrounding parcels to the north and northwest are zoned General Commercial (C36), which are subject to the arithmetic mean noise limit levels of 65 dBA daytime and 62.5 dBA nighttime.

The primary noise source from this project would be from the Heating Ventilating and Air Conditioning (HVAC) unit. The Noise Report prepared by Eilar Associates, Inc. and dated February 17, 2021, demonstrated that the noise levels from the units would comply with the Noise Ordinance, Section 36.404. The report evaluated the noise level based on four 5-Ton roof-top unit model "Carrier 48VL-A60," or an equivalent model (Sound Power Level 76 dBA) and found that with the incorporation of those specifications, the noise levels are anticipated to not exceed the noise standards. To ensure that the project complies with the Noise Ordinance, the project would be conditioned that in the event that a louder equipment is proposed, a project Modification or Minor Deviation would be required and would be required to demonstrate compliance with the County's Noise Ordinance.

The project is also subject to the County Noise Ordinance which regulates temporary project related noise sources. Temporary construction noise is a 75 dBA eight-hour average requirement at the boundary of any occupied property, specifically any existing residences. The project will not generate construction noise that may exceed the standards of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance (Section 36.409). The construction activities include equipment such as excavator, dozer, paver, roller, backhoe, and trucks. The approximate center of work is located at roughly 365 feet from the nearest noise sensitive land uses to the west of the project site. At that location, the construction noise levels are expected to not exceed the noise standards. In addition, construction operations will occur only during permitted hours of operation pursuant to Section 36.409. Also, it is not anticipated that the project will operate construction equipment in excess of an average sound level of 75 dB between the hours of 7 AM and 7 PM. No blasting or rock crushing is anticipated during the grading operations.

In addition, the project traffic contributions on nearby roadways were determined to result in less than significant for the off-site direct and cumulative impacts. The project would add 96 average daily trips to Lizard Rocks Road, which results in less than 3 dBA increase. Therefore, traffic would not result in a substantial contribution to the existing noise levels along any impacted nearby roadways pursuant to the County Noise Guidelines.